## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KYLE SMITH,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 02-CV-2718

Plaintiff,

v.

PROMARK PRODUCTS WEST, INC.,

and

ARIENS COMPANY,

Defendants.

## **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 26<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2005, upon consideration of Defendants' Motion in Limine to Preclude Countervailing Opinion Testimony or Evidence as to Proper Maintenance and an Adverse Inference Jury Instruction for Plaintiff's Spoliation of Evidence (Docket No. 34), Plaintiff's response thereto (Docket No. 39) and the parties' supplemental reply briefs (Docket Nos. 45 and 46), it is hereby **ORDERED** that Defendants' motion is **GRANTED** in part, and **DENIED** in part.

Defendants' Motion in Limine to preclude countervailing opinion testimony or evidence as to proper maintenance and condition is **GRANTED**.

Defendants' motion for an adverse inference jury instruction is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

RONALD L. BUCKWALTER, S.J.